The opinion in support of the decision being entered today was <u>not</u> written for publication in a law journal and is <u>not</u> binding precedent of the Board.

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

## BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte WOLFGANG BARNIKOL

Application 08/869,406

ORDER DISMISSING APPEAL

MAILED

DEC 2 0 2004

U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Before HARKCOM, <u>Acting Chief Administrative Patent Judge</u>, and WILLIAM F. SMITH and NASE, <u>Administrative Patent Judges</u>.

## Per curiam.

On June 19, 2001, applicant filed a Notice of Appeal. On December 2, 2004, appellant filed a communication stating that applicant expressly abandons this application.

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the appeal filed June 19, 2001, is dismissed.

Appeal No. 2005-0190 Application 08/869,406

The application is being returned to the examiner for further action as may be appropriate.

Gary J. Harkgom, Acting Chief Administrative Patent Judge

Administrative Patent Judge

APPEALS AND

**INTERFERENCES** 

**BOARD OF PATENT** 

Jeffrey V. Nase Administrative Patent Judge

David Toren Sidley, Austin, Brown & Wood, LLP 787 Seventh Avenue New York, NY 10019-6018

dem